

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-23
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-08-13
Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-13

Agency: 023 - General Services Administration **Bureau:** 10 - Supply and Technology Activities

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 24 - E-Gov & LoB initiatives

1. Name of this Investment: E-Gov Travel (ETS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 023-999990220

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

ETS establishes a common government-wide, web-based, end-to-end travel management service that reduces or eliminates capital investment and minimizes total cost per transaction for the government with policy based on best travel management practices. ETS integrates an online booking engine (OBE), Automated Travel Authorization and Voucher System (TAVS), and traditional travel agency services with an agency's financial system to deliver an end-to-end solution for travel management. E-Gov Travel consolidated over 250 costly, disconnected and independently managed stovepipe travel systems and services across Federal Government.

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

1. Online adoption increased from less than 5% to over 65% for fully deployed agencies, saving ~\$21 per transaction. 2. Enterprise Application Integration (EAI) reduced financial system interface development and maintenance costs. 3. Security is managed government-wide, taking the expense and burden off individual agencies. 4. CFOs have visibility into travel data, supporting multiple levels of accountability. 5. Travel reimbursement

processing decreased from 30 days or more to an average of 3 days.

3. **Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**
 - Reservation refresh that will enhance the booking process for Sabre. - Implement "soft launch" release process that will improve quality of releases. - FedTraveler has added mobile functionality that allows Travel Authorization approval on BlackBerry's.
4. **Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**
 - Provide agency ad-hoc reporting capability with SAP reporting tool. - Improve usability with E2 release 11.3. - ETS vendors will strive to deliver improved customer service, usability, functionality, service performance and accessibility by way of continuous improvement with their technology offering. These improvements will be measured semi-annually through Key Performance Indicator reviews with the ETS vendors. - The GSA ETS PMO is negotiating extension modifications to the ETS1 contracts to provide service coverage for FY14 & FY15.
5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2002-07-09

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$39.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$39.4	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$27.4	\$7.4	\$7.4	\$7.4
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$4.6	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.4
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$32.0	\$7.7	\$7.7	\$7.8
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$71.4	\$7.7	\$7.7	\$7.8
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$4.6	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.4
# of FTE rep by costs:	33	2	2	2
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$7.7	\$7.7	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	0.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	--	--	---------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------	--------	----------------	-----------------------------

NONE

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

These contracts are for commercially available services. The government is not paying the contractor to develop a system. The contractor only gets paid when the service is delivered.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
% of users expressing a high level of customer satisfaction.	Agency Executive Sponsor, Migration Manager or Sup	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	67.300000	74.900000	74.900000	67.300000	Semi-Annual
# of (BRM) agencies using E-Gov Travel.	BRM agency	Mission and Business Results - Support Delivery of Services	Over target	23.000000	24.000000	24.000000	24.000000	Monthly
% of trips planned using online booking (on an annual basis).	Ticketed reservation	Process and Activities - Financial	Over target	70.000000	74.000000	69.000000	68.000000	Monthly
% of vouchers serviced through E-Gov Travel.	Travel voucher	Technology - Effectiveness	Over target	82.800000	73.480000	84.870000	73.480000	Monthly
% of (BRM) agencies/components that have interfaces to financial system.	BRM agency	Technology - Information and Data	Over target	40.000000	40.000000	40.000000	40.000000	Monthly